

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,264	08/14/2006	Roland Wursche	291789US0PCT	6049	
OBLON, SPIV	7590 01/07/201 AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			FREEMAN, JOHN D		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/07/2010	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/589,264	WURSCHE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John Freeman	1794		

		John Freeman	1794					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING HENGER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING HENGER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING TO SIX 60 MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. For the prior for reply is appended above, the maximum statutory period re- ter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by state HENGER IS AND THE STATE OF TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 C This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i>	action is non-final.		e merits is				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5 and 8-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 6 and Z is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)	_						

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-6508) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.
- 6) Other: _

Application/Control Number: 10/589,264 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR
1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued
examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the
finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's
submission filed on 26 October 2009 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

For clarity, the examiner notes monomer unit (I) and monomer unit (VI) are the elected species for (a) and (b) respectively. The other monomeric units are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oenbrink et al. (US 5.637.408) in view of Fischer et al. (US 5.189.100) and Childers et al. (US 2.820.773).
- Oenbrink discloses a multilayer composite comprising (col 1 In 55-63):
 - (I) a layer of polyamide,
 - (II) a layer of polyalkyl methacrylate, bonded via
 - (III) a coupling layer.

The coupling layer comprises (i) up to 96% by weight of acrylate-derived units (col 4 ln 35-45), and (iv) 0.2-25% by weight of anhydride-based units (col 4 ln 66-col 5 ln 10).

- Oenbrink is silent with the addition of ABS to the coupling layer.
- 7. Fischer discloses polymer blends of (A) copolymer comprising units of methyl methacrylate and maleic anhydride, (B) another copolymer, and (C) ABS copolymer (col 3 In 1-38). The ABS copolymer comprises 0.5-99.5% of the blend (col 3 In 35). Fischer teaches the ABS copolymer is added to improve the impact strength of the overall blend (col 6 In 31-47).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/589,264

Art Unit: 1794

8. Childers discloses ABS copolymer having improved impact strength (col 1 In 15-20). The copolymer comprises as little as 20% of rubber compared to the acrylonitrile-styrene copolymer (col 1 In 37-46). The acrylonitrile comprises as little as 10-75% of said acrylonitrile-styrene copolymer, and therefore comprises 2.5-60% of the overall ABS copolymer. As set forth in MPEP 2144.05, in the case where the claimed range "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art", a prima facie case of obviousness exists, In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575. 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

- 9. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add ABS copolymer to the methacrylate-anhydride layer taught by Oenbrink to improve its impact strength. It further would have been obvious to use ABS copolymers having amounts of rubber and acrylonitrile as presently claimed to improve its impact strength.
- 10. Regarding claim 7:
- Oenbrink teaches the polyalkyl methacrylate layer (II) comprises pigments (col 5 In 42).
- Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ries et al. (US 6,680,093) in view of Fischer et al. (US 5,189,100) and Childers et al. (US 2,820,773).
- 13. Ries disclose a multilayer composite comprising (claim 1):
 - (I) a layer of polyamide,
 - (II) a layer comprising polyester or fluoropolymer, bonded via
 - (III) an adhesive layer.

The adhesive layer comprises an alkyl acrylate polymer comprising (i) up to 100% of acrylate-derived units, and (iv) 0-20% by weight of anhydride-based units (claims 4-6). The composite can be in a sheet form (col 7 in 36-41).

- Ries is silent with the addition of ABS to the coupling layer.
- Fischer discloses polymer blends of (A) copolymer comprising units of methyl methacrylate and maleic anhydride, (B) another copolymer, and (C) ABS copolymer (col 3 In 1-38). The ABS copolymer

Application/Control Number: 10/589,264 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

comprises 0.5-99.5% of the blend (col 3 in 35). Fischer teaches the ABS copolymer is added to improve the impact strength of the overall blend (col 6 in 31-47).

- 16. Childers discloses ABS copolymer having improved impact strength (col 1 In 15-20). The copolymer comprises as little as 20% of rubber compared to the acrylonitrile-styrene copolymer (col 1 In 37-46). The acrylonitrile comprises as little as 10-75% of said acrylonitrile-styrene copolymer, and therefore comprises 2.5-60% of the overall ABS copolymer. As set forth in MPEP 2144.05, in the case where the claimed range "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art", a prima facie case of obviousness exists, In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990).
- 17. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add ABS copolymer to the methacrylate-anhydride layer taught by Ries to improve its impact strength. It further would have been obvious to use ABS copolymers having amounts of rubber and acrylonitrile as presently claimed to improve its impact strength.
- 18. Regarding claim 7:
- Layer (II) comprises polyester (claim 1).

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- The examiner appreciates Applicant's efforts to clarify the terms of the claims. Previous rejections
 under 35 USC 112 are withdrawn in light of Applicant's amendments.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Freeman whose telephone number is (571)270-3469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00PM EST (First Friday off).

Application/Control Number: 10/589,264 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Callie Shosho can be reached on (571)272-1123. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

John Freeman Examiner Art Unit 1794

/John Freeman/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794